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#### Abstract

In present study, absorption spectral studies of $\operatorname{Pr}(I I I)$ with acetyl acetone $\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ and their adducts with dinitrogen donor ligands like, o-phenanthroline $\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ and bipyridyl $\left[\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right.$ ] in methanol [ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ], dimethyl formamide $\left[\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{NO}\right]$ and acetonitrile $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right]$ was carried out. The intra $4 \mathrm{f}-4 \mathrm{f}$ transition spectra yield sharp bands which were analyzed individually by Gaussian curve analysis, the energy interaction parameters $\left(F_{K}, E^{K}\right)$, Lande spin orbit coupling $\left(\xi_{4 f}\right)$, nephelauxetic ratio $(\beta)$, bonding parameter ( $\left.b^{\text {I/2 }}\right)$, percent covalency $(\delta)$ were calculated and intensity parameters have been computed on computer using partial multiple regression analysis.
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## INTRODUCTION

The sharp line bands arising from 4 f transition among different levels of 4 f configuration exhibit red shift as well as some broadening when Pr (III) undergoes complexation. Judd Ofelt theory of lanthanide intensities have led to an upsurge in the quantitative analysis of spectral behavior of $\operatorname{Pr}$ (III) in crystalline state [1, 2].
S. N. Misra et al studies the interactions of adenine and adenosine with $\operatorname{Pr}$ (III) in different ratio, they observed similar type of absorption spectral pattern with small changes in the intensity, energy parameters of transition and marginal increase in wavelength. It was reported that adenosine appears to be a better ligand than adenine. The reasons are either increase in intensity parameters from adenine to adenosine or overlap of orbital and shortening of the metal ligand distance [3-8].

The formation of lanthanide ligand bond mainly depends on electronegativity of the bonding atom in the ligand. It is in the order of $\mathrm{F}^{-}>\mathrm{OH}^{-}>\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}>\mathrm{NO}_{3}>\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$for ligands, when they can form chelate ring through their oxygen atom in $\beta$ diketonates. When the ligand binds through either nitrogen atom or sulphur atom, they are unable to complete with water for the position in coordination sphere. Therefore, their compounds can be synthesized only in non aqueous media [9].

In the present study, absorption spectral studies of $\operatorname{Pr}$ (III) with acetyl acetone and their adducts with dinitrogen donor ligand like, o-phenanthroline and bipyridyl in methanol, dimethyl formamide and acetonitrile was carried out. The intra $4 f-4 f$ transition spectra yield sharp bands which were analyzed individually by Gaussian curve analysis, the energy interaction parameters ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{K}}, \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{K}}$ ), Lande spin orbit coupling ( $\xi_{4 \mathrm{f}}$ ), nephelauxetic ratio ( $\beta$ ), bonding
parameter $\left(\mathrm{b}^{1 / 2}\right)$, percent covalency $(\delta)$ were calculated and intensity parameters have been computed on computer using partial multiple regression analysis.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Pr (III) chloride heptahydrate of $99.9 \%$ purity from M/s Indian Rare Earths Ltd., acetyl acetone of AR grade from Qualigens, nitrogen donor ligands like o-phenanthroline and bipyridyl were from Sisco Chemical Laboratory. The $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, DMF and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solvents used for recording the spectra. They were of AR grade from E. Merck. They are double distilled before use. The elemental analysis was carried out on Carlo-Erba Strumentatzione Strada Rivoltand 200 9D Rodono Italy, at CSMCRI Bhavnagar. Pr (III) contents were determined gravimetrically as oxinate using 8hydroxyquinoline. All the spectra were recorded on Perkin Elmer Lambda-2 UV-Visible spectrophotometer in the range $380-620 \mathrm{~nm}$, in the concentration of $\operatorname{Pr}$ (III) complexes in $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$.

## Synthesis of $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{a c a c})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$

$\operatorname{Pr}$ (III) chloride heptahydrate 3.74 gm was dissolved in water, the methanolic solution of acetyl acetone 3.2 gm was added very slowly with constant stirring with resulted in isolation of yellow crystalline solid. After neutralizing the contents with ammonia the product was filtered and washed thoroughly with methanol and was purified by recrystallization with methanol.

## Synthesis of $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{a c a c})_{3}\right.$ (bipy)]

The $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ of 4.77 gm added into methanolic solution of 1.56 gm of aniline and put it for constant stirring. Yield yellow precipitated the amount of precipitated increased tremendously on addition of ammonia solution drop wise. The yellow micro crystalline solid was obtained and they were recrystallized from methanol, the o-phenothroline complexes have also been synthesized by similar procedure. These adducts have been synthesized and characterized by elemental analysis and molecular weight determination, the elemental analysis and molecular weight determination carried out at CSMCRI, Bhavnagar. Molecular weight and Analytical data are shown in Table 1 and Table 2. Metal Pr (III) was estimated first by decomposing the chelate by concentrated nitric acid and evaporating it to dryness. The dried mass was extracted with dilute HCl and the metal was precipitated as oxinate by using 8 -hydroxy quinoline method [10].

The Coulombic and magnetic interaction between $4 f^{\mathrm{n}}$ electrons leads to energy level of $4 f^{\mathrm{n}}$ configuration and these interaction can be expressed in terms of the electronic repulsion parameters Salter-Condon ( $\mathrm{F}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{4}, \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ ), G. Racah [11-12]
$\left(\mathrm{E}^{1}, \mathrm{E}^{2}, \mathrm{E}^{3}\right)$ and spin orbit interaction parameter, Lande spin orbit coupling $\left(\xi_{4 f}\right)$ as a first approximation. The energy $E_{j}$ of the $j^{\text {th }}$ level is given by the following equation,

```
\(\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \xi_{4 f}\right)=\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{0 j}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{k}}^{0}{ }_{\mathbf{k}} \xi_{4 f}\right)+\sum\left(\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{j}} / \delta \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \Delta \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{k}}+\left(\delta \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{j}} / \boldsymbol{\delta} \xi_{4 f}\right) \Delta \xi_{4 f}\)
\(\mathrm{k}=\mathbf{2 , 4 , 6}\)
```

Where, $E_{o j}$ is the Zero order energy of the $j^{\text {th }}$ level is given by E. Y. Wong [13-14]. The values of $E_{o j}$, partial derivative $\left(\delta \mathrm{E}_{j} / \delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ and $\left(\delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}} / \delta \xi_{4 f}\right)$, for different levels were known. The nephelauxetic effects measures the change in $F_{k}$ with respect to free ion and expressed by nephelauxetic ratio ( $\beta$ ), which is defined as,

$$
\beta=F_{k}^{c} / \mathbf{F}_{k}^{f}
$$

Where, c and f referred to complex and free ion. The amount of mixing of $4 f$ orbital and ligand orbital can be measured by the bonding parameter $\left(b^{1 / 2}\right)$ which is given by [15-19],

$$
b^{1 / 2}=[1-\beta / 2]^{1 / 2}
$$

S. P. Sinha [20-21] introduced another parameter, percentage covalency parameter $(\delta)$ as,

$$
\delta=[1-\beta / \beta] \times 100
$$

The experimental value of oscillator strength ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{obs}}$ ) of absorption bands were calculated by performing Gaussian curve analysis using the following relationship,
$P_{\text {obs }}=4.60 \times 10^{-9} \epsilon_{\mathrm{m}}(\overline{\mathrm{v}})(\mathrm{d} \overline{\mathrm{v}})$
Where $\epsilon_{m}$ is the molar extinction coefficient corresponding to energy $(\overline{\mathrm{v}})$.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Fig. 1 shows comparative absorption spectra of $\operatorname{Pr}$ (III) complexes in methanol. There is marginal red shift of $4 f-4 f$ transition bonds in $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ the enhancement is more in case o-phenthroline indicating a better ligand than bipyridyl when recorded in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$. The intensity of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}>{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}>{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}>{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ [2224].


Fig. 1 Comparative absorption spectra of 1) $\left.\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{a c a c})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] 2\right)\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{ph})\right]$ and 3) $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\operatorname{Bipy})\right]$ complexes in methanol.

Table 1 shows the observed and calculated values of molecular weight of $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes. This indicates that the observed and calculated values of molecular weight are nearly matched means that the complexation is proper.

Table 1 Observed and calculated values of molecular weight of $\operatorname{Pr}($ III $)$ complexes.

| Complexes | Cal. MW | Obs. MW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 477.36 | 474.18 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{oph})$ | 639.50 | 638.01 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}($ Bipy $)$ | 567.46 | 566.30 |

Table 2 Observed and calculated values of analytical data $\operatorname{Pr}$ (III) complexes.

| Complexes | \% metal |  | \% Carbon |  | \% Hydrogen |  | \% Nitrogen |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 29.52 | 29.68 | 36.71 | 37.80 | 4.88 | 5.05 | - | - |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{oph})$ | 21.56 | 22.03 | 49.68 | 50.66 | 500 | 5.05 | 4.11 | 4.38 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Bipy})$ | 23.90 | 24.83 | 51.90 | 53.74 | 5.98 | 6.20 | 4.80 | 4.94 |

Table 2 shows the observed and calculated values of analytical data whereas Table 3 shows experimental and computed values of $\operatorname{Pr}$ (III) complexes in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, DMF and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solvents. The r. m. s. deviation $\sigma$ are varies from 108.97 to 173.73 showing that accuration of the various energy interaction parameters.

Table 4 shows energy interaction parameters Slator Condon $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$, Lande spin orbit interaction $\left(\xi_{4 f}\right)$, nephelauxetic ratio ( $\beta$ ), bonding parameters ( $\mathrm{b}^{1 / 2}$ ) and covalency parameter ( $\delta$ ) for $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, DMF and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solvents. It shows that the values of nephelauxetic effect ( $\beta$ ) in $\operatorname{Pr}$ (III) complexes were vary from 0.927 to 0.946
which is less than unity. The value of bonding parameters $\left(b^{1 / 2}\right)$ were varies from 0.126 to 0.168 and which is positive indicate covalent bonding between $\operatorname{Pr}$ (III) and ligand.

Table 3 Observed and calculated energies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of Pr (III) complexes in $\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathbf{O H}$, DMF and $\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathbf{C N}$ solvents.

| Complexes and Solvents | ${ }^{3} \mathbf{P}_{2}$ |  | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ |  | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ |  | ${ }^{1} \mathbf{D}_{2}$ |  | $\sigma$ r.m.s. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 22489 | 22424 | 21311 | 21215 | 20736 | 20660 | 16893 | 17117 | 131.30 |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{ph})$ | 22506 | 22356 | 21333 | 21206 | 20755 | 20756 | 16917 | 17077 | 126.72 |
| $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ (Bipy) | 22537 | 22415 | 21360 | 21252 | 20772 | 20770 | 16972 | 17110 | 108.97 |
| DMF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 22432 | 22557 | 21254 | 21128 | 20690 | 20690 | 16836 | 17107 | 173.73 |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{ph})$ | 22436 | 22250 | 21263 | 21119 | 20708 | 20705 | 16820 | 17034 | 159.02 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}($ Bipy $)$ | 22437 | 22248 | 21258 | 21126 | 20709 | 20707 | 16821 | 17033 | 154.47 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 22414 | 22392 | 21363 | 21261 | 20776 | 20774 | 16932 | 17118 | 128.18 |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{ph})$ | 22532 | 22310 | 21353 | 21236 | 20755 | 20751 | 16855 | 17065 | 143.20 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}($ Bipy $)$ | 22530 | 22401 | 21341 | 21190 | 20748 | 20755 | 16943 | 17101 | 144.66 |

S. N. Misra et al [25-27] observed decrease in the values of $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ and $\xi_{4 \mathrm{f}}$ parameters as compared to corresponding parameters of aqueous ion. They also observed that $\operatorname{Pr}$ (III) complexes with $\beta$-diketone and donor ligand aniline, bromoaniline and chloroaniline. Their ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2},{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1},{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0},{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ transitions are not hypersensitive in orthodox sense, yet these high intensification and wide variation of oscillator strength. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}, \quad{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$. All these four transitions are showing substantial variation of oscillator strength which conform the ligand mediated pseudohypersensitivity of these pseudohypersensitive transitions. Karaker shows that the shape, energy and oscillator strength of hypersensitive and pseudohypersensitive transition can be correlated with coordination number [28, 29].

Table 4 Computed values of energy interaction parameters Slator Condon ( $F_{k}$ ), Lande spin orbit coupling $\left(\xi_{4 f}\right)$, nephelauxetic ratio ( $\beta$ ), bonding parameters $\left(b^{1 / 2}\right)$ and covalency parameter ( $\delta$ ) for $\operatorname{Pr}($ IIII) complexes in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, DMF and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solvents.

| Complexes and Solvent | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ | F6 | ( $\xi_{47}$ ) | $\beta$ | $\left(\mathrm{b}^{1 / 2}\right)$ | (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 308.85 | 42.68 | 4.66 | 719.64 | 0.944 | 0.166 | 5.890 |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{ph})$ | 311.12 | 41.98 | 4.70 | 651.77 | 0.927 | 0.128 | 3.358 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}$ (acac) ${ }_{3}$ (Bipy) | 311.50 | 42.02 | 4.71 | 658.37 | 0.929 | 0.126 | 3348 |
| DMF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 308.10 | 42.54 | 4.65 | 719.35 | 0.941 | 0.168 | 6.032 |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{ph})$ | 311.65 | 43.10 | 4.68 | 668.54 | 0.945 | 0.129 | 3.444 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}$ (acac) ${ }_{3}$ (Bipy) | 311.20 | 42.92 | 4.78 | 863.11 | 0.946 | 0.130 | 3.446 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 308.17 | 42.53 | 4.65 | 720.06 | 0.943 | 0.166 | 5.953 |
| Pr (acac) ${ }_{3}(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{ph})$ | 311.62 | 43.00 | 4.68 | 665.56 | 0.933 | 0.130 | 3.391 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Bipy})$ | 311.23 | 42.95 | 4.71 | 683.11 | 0.944 | 0.128 | 3.610 |

## CONCLUSION

The results of this study reveals that the $\operatorname{Pr}($ III $)$ complexes with acetyl acetone and their adducts with nitrogen donor ligands like o-phenanthroline and bipyridyl complexes creates high degree of intensification to different pseudohypersensitive transitions in different immediate coordination environment. It is quite apparent the stereochemistry possible for octacoordination. The change in the stereochemistry could change the distance between lanthanide and ligands. Hence, this could change the extent of interactions between lanthanide and ligands.
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