



Mental Disorders and Economic Decision-Making

Matthaus Mayer*

Department of Psychology, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

ABSTRACT

This article explores the complex relationship between economic decision-making and mental health. It examines the various ways in which mental health can influence economic decision-making processes and outcomes, as well as the impact of economic decision-making on mental health. By analyzing existing literature and empirical evidence, this article emphasizes the bidirectional nature of this relationship and highlights the importance of considering mental health factors in economic decision-making frameworks. The findings underscore the need for integrated policies and interventions that address both economic and mental health concerns for optimal societal well-being.

Keywords: Economic; Mental health; Anxiety disorders; Hyperactivity disorder

INTRODUCTION

Economic decision-making plays a crucial role in shaping individual's financial well-being, and mental health is a key determinant of overall quality of life [1]. Understanding the interplay between these two domains is essential for policymakers, researchers, and practitioners seeking to promote holistic well-being and informed decision-making. This article aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the relationship between economic decision-making and mental health, shedding light on how each influences the other [2].

Impact of Mental Health on Economic Decision-Making

Cognitive biases and emotional distortions: Numerous cognitive biases and emotional distortions associated with mental health conditions can significantly affect economic decision-making [3-6]. For example, individuals with depression may exhibit a pessimistic bias, leading to risk aversion and reduced investment behavior. Similarly, anxiety disorders can amplify the perception of potential threats,

resulting in excessive caution and reluctance to engage in economic activities. These cognitive biases and emotional distortions can undermine effective decision-making, leading to suboptimal economic outcomes [7].

Impaired executive functions: Mental health conditions can impair executive functions, such as attention, memory, and self-control, which are crucial for rational economic decision-making. Conditions like Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) or substance abuse disorders can diminish an individual's ability to plan, inhibit impulsive responses, and weigh long-term consequences accurately. Consequently, impaired executive functions can lead to impulsive spending, poor financial management, and difficulties in adhering to long-term financial goals [8].

Received:	10-June-2023	Manuscript No:	IPJHCC-23-16707
Editor assigned:	13-June-2023	PreQC No:	IPJHCC-23-16707 (PQ)
Reviewed:	27-June-2023	QC No:	IPJHCC-23-16707
Revised:	05-March-2024	Manuscript No:	IPJHCC-23-16707 (R)
Published:	12-March-2024	DOI	10.36648/2472-1654.9.2.9011

Corresponding author: Matthaus Mayer, Department of Psychology, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; E-mail:benadams329@gmail.com

Citation: Mayer M (2024) Mental Disorders and Economic Decision-Making. J Healthc Commun. 9:9011.

Copyright: © 2024 Mayer M. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

DESCRIPTION

Impact of Economic Decision-Making on Mental Health

Financial stress and anxiety: Economic decision-making outcomes, such as financial insecurity, debt burden, and income volatility, can exert significant psychological stress on individuals, leading to anxiety, depression, and other mental health problems. Financial stressors can also strain personal relationships, exacerbating mental health issues and creating a vicious cycle that further impairs decision-making abilities.

Social comparisons and well-being: Economic decision-making is often influenced by social comparisons, wherein individuals evaluate their financial status relative to others. Frequent exposure to upward social comparisons, where individuals perceive themselves as economically inferior to their peers, can contribute to decreased self-esteem, lower subjective well-being, and increased vulnerability to mental health issues [9].

Integrated Approaches: Enhancing Economic Decision-Making and Mental Health

Policy interventions: To promote positive economic decision-making outcomes and safeguard mental health, policymakers should adopt integrated approaches. This includes implementing financial education programs that consider cognitive biases, emotional well-being, and executive functions. Furthermore, policies addressing income inequality, affordable healthcare, and social safety nets can help alleviate financial stress and mitigate mental health challenges associated with economic disparities.

Behavioral interventions: Behavioral interventions can enhance economic decision-making and improve mental health outcomes [10]. Techniques such as cognitive-behavioral therapy, mindfulness-based interventions, and financial counseling can effectively address cognitive biases, emotional distortions, and financial stressors, facilitating informed decision-making and promoting mental well-being.

CONCLUSION

This article highlights the bidirectional relationship between economic decision-making and mental health. Mental health conditions can influence economic decision-making through cognitive biases, emotional distortions, and impaired executive functions, leading to suboptimal outcomes. Conversely, economic decision-making outcomes, such as financial stress and social comparisons, can impact mental health, contributing to anxiety, depression, and reduced well-being.

To address these interconnected issues, policymakers and practitioners must adopt integrated approaches that consider both economic decision-making and mental health. This includes developing policies that promote financial literacy and address income inequality, as well as providing access to affordable healthcare and social support systems.

Additionally, behavioral interventions, such as cognitive-behavioral therapy and mindfulness-based techniques, can help individuals overcome cognitive biases, manage emotional distress, and improve financial decision-making skills.

It is essential to incorporate mental health considerations into economic decision-making frameworks. By recognizing the influence of mental health on economic decisions and the impact of economic decisions on mental health, we can develop comprehensive strategies that promote optimal well-being at both the individual and societal levels.

Further research is warranted to deepen our understanding of the intricate relationship between economic decision-making and mental health. Longitudinal studies, experimental designs, and interdisciplinary collaborations can provide valuable insights into the underlying mechanisms and inform evidence-based interventions.

In conclusion, the complex relationship between economic decision-making and mental health underscores the need for integrated approaches that prioritize both domains. By addressing cognitive biases, emotional well-being, and executive functions, policymakers and practitioners can foster informed economic decisions and promote mental well-being. Ultimately, such efforts contribute to creating a more equitable and prosperous society.

REFERENCES

1. Ahmad A, Larsson B, Sundelin-Wahlsten V (2007) EMDR treatment for children with PTSD: Results of a randomized controlled trial. *Nord J Psychiatry*. 61(5): 349-354.
2. Asgari A, Silong AD, Ahmad A, Samah BA (2008) The relationship between transformational leadership behaviors, organizational justice, leader-member exchange, perceived organizational support, trust in management and organizational citizenship behaviors. *Eur J Sci Res*. 23(2):227-242.
3. Ya'akov MB, Shtudiner Z, Suhorukov O, Grisar N (2019) Time and risk preferences, and consumption decisions of patients with clinical depression. *J Behav Exp Econ*. 78:138-145.
4. Boyce CJ, Brown GD, Moore SC (2010) Money and happiness: Rank of income, not income, affects life satisfaction. *Psychol Sci*. 21(4):471-475.
5. Pickett KE, Wilkinson RG (2015) Income inequality and health: A causal review. *Soc Sci Med*. 128:316-326.
6. Hoge EA, Bui E, Marques L, Metcalf CA, Morris LK, et al. (2013) Randomized controlled trial of mindfulness meditation for generalized anxiety disorder: Effects on anxiety and stress reactivity. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 74(8): 786-792
7. Huston SJ (2010) Measuring financial literacy. *J Consum Aff*. 44(2):296-316.
8. Richardson T, Elliott P, Roberts R (2013) The relationship between personal unsecured debt and mental and

physical health: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 33(8):1148-1162.

9. Scharwey M, Korn CW, Vossel G (2018) Altered decision-making under risk in depression. *J Abnorm Psychol.* 127(7):648-659.
10. Blankenstein NE, Peper JS, Crone EA, van Duijvenvoorde AC (2017) Neural mechanisms underlying risk and ambiguity attitudes. *J Cogn Neurosci.* 29(11):1845-1859.