Multiaxial Diagnosis: Integrating Complexity into Mental Health Evaluation

Smith Rebecca*

Department of Psychiatry, Harvard University, United States

INTRODUCTION

Multiaxial diagnosis is a comprehensive approach to psychiatric evaluation that considers multiple dimensions of an individual's mental health and functioning. Developed as part of the diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fourth edition, the multiaxial system organizes diagnostic information into five axes, each providing a unique perspective on the individual's clinical presentation and psychosocial context. The first axis of the multiaxial system is reserved for clinical disorders and other conditions that may be the focus of clinical attention. This includes mood disorders (such as depression and bipolar disorder), anxiety disorders, psychotic disorders substance use disorders, and other psychiatric conditions. Axis I diagnoses are based on specific symptom criteria outlined are typically the primary focus of treatment interventions.

DESCRIPTION

The second axis captures personality disorders and intellectual disabilities. Personality disorders are enduring patterns of behavior, cognition, and inner experience that deviate from cultural expectations and cause distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other areas of functioning. Common examples include borderline personality disorder, antisocial personality disorder, and narcissistic personality disorder. Intellectual disabilities are characterized by deficits in intellectual functioning and adaptive behavior, with onset during the developmental period. The third axis accounts for medical conditions or physical disorders that may be relevant to the individual's mental health or influence the course and treatment of psychiatric symptoms. This includes chronic medical illnesses, neurological disorders, infectious diseases, and injuries that may contribute to or exacerbate psychiatric symptoms. Clinicians consider the impact of these medical conditions on the individual's overall functioning and well-being

when formulating a diagnosis and treatment plan. The fourth axis assesses psychosocial and environmental stressors that may contribute to the individual's current clinical presentation or exacerbate existing psychiatric symptoms. This includes recent life events, such as job loss, divorce, bereavement, or interpersonal conflicts, as well as chronic stressors such as financial difficulties, housing instability, or exposure to trauma. Clinicians evaluate the severity and chronicity of these stressors and their impact on the individual's mental health and functioning. The fifth and final axis provides a global assessment of the individual's overall level of functioning, known as the global assessment of functioning scale. The scale ranges from 1 to 100 and reflects the individual's current psychological, social, and occupational functioning relative to their developmental level and cultural background. Higher scores indicate better functioning, while lower scores indicate greater impairment or disability. The multiaxial system offers a holistic framework for understanding the complexity of mental health disorders and their interplay with medical, psychosocial, and environmental factors [1-4].

CONCLUSION

By considering multiple axes of diagnosis, clinicians can develop more comprehensive treatment plans that address the individual's unique needs and circumstances. Additionally, the multiaxial approach facilitates communication and collaboration among healthcare providers, enhancing continuity of care and promoting better outcomes for individuals with mental health disorders. While the multiaxial system was a prominent feature of the, it was eliminated in the fifth edition in favor of a more streamlined diagnostic approach. However, the principles of multiaxial diagnosis remain relevant in contemporary psychiatric practice, as clinicians continue to consider biological, psychological, social, and environmental factors in their diagnostic assessments. By

adopting a multidimensional perspective, clinicians can provide more holistic and individualized care to individuals with mental health disorders, promoting recovery and well-being.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

1. Oken D (2000) Multiaxial diagnosis and the psychosomatic model of disease. Psychosom Med. 62(2):171-5.

2. Mezzich JE, Schmolke MM (1995) Multiaxial diagnosis and psychotherapy planning: On the relevance of icd-10, dsm-iv and complementary schemas. Psychother Psychosom. 63(2):71-80.

3. Schneider W, Klauer T, Freyberger HJ (2008) Operationalized psychodynamic diagnosis in planning and evaluating the psychotherapeutic process. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 5:86-91.

4. Kastrup M (2002) Experience with current multiaxial diagnostic systems: A critical review. psychopathology. 35(2-3):122-6.