# New Therapies for Pancreatic Cancer: Current Standard

#### Michele Reni, Stefano Cereda

Department of Oncology, S. Raffaele Scientific Institute. Milan, Italy

At the time of the diagnosis of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, about 10% of patients present with disease confined to the pancreas and are eligible for surgical resection while 90% of patients present with locally advanced (30-40%) or metastatic (50-60%) disease.

#### **Resectable Disease**

With regard to resectable disease, two recent phase III randomized trials showed that postoperative chemotherapy, either 5fluorouracil or gemcitabine, significantly prolongs overall and disease-free survival with respect to observation alone [1, 2]. A Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) randomized trial showed phase III significantly better survival after gemcitabine chemotherapy with respect to continuous infusion 5-fluorouracil in the subgroup of patients with pancreatic head cancer [3]. However, in this trial the dose of 5fluorouracil was suboptimal, representing about 60% of the potential dose previously used in advanced disease [4] and a definitive the superiority conclusion about gemcitabine can not be drawn. The findings from these trials confirmed that failure to prevent metastases represents the main pattern of disease recurrence even in the early stages While of pancreatic carcinoma. local recurrence is also the rule, isolated local failure occurred in less than one-third of cases. Accordingly, systemic chemotherapy appears to have a stronger rationale as a first postoperative therapy with respect to local treatment and either gemcitabine alone or full

dose 5-fluorouracil may be considered a standard. An ongoing European Study Group Pancreatic Cancer (ESPAC-3) trial of comparing gemcitabine to 5-fluorouracilleucovorin postoperative chemotherapy should provide further information for resolving this controversy. The use of postoperative combination chemotherapy outside of clinical trials is unjustified. No firm conclusion about the role of modern chemoradiation after systemic chemotherapy is possible because no information from phase III trials is available. In fact, randomized trials comparing chemoradiation with observation used outdated modalities, for both radiation and chemotherapy, and administered this local treatment as an upfront postoperative Despite treatment [2. 5. 6]. these methodological pitfalls, controversial results were observed. Thus, it is the author's opinion that modern chemoradiation after systemic chemotherapy has a role in the therapeutic management of this disease. An ongoing European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) trial. comparing four cycles of gemcitabine with two cycles of gemcitabine followed by chemoradiation will contribute to better clarifying this issue.

### Locally Advanced Disease

Systemic therapy has been accepted as a standard in early stage pancreatic cancer. A logical consequence is that locally advanced disease should be treated with systemic chemotherapy as well. In effect, virtually all patients with stage III pancreatic cancer will develop ultimately metastatic disease. Considerations about upfront chemotherapy are similar to metastatic disease and are reported below. Chemoradiation seems to have a role as a consolidation treatment for patients who do not have progressive disease chemotherapy. This during approach significantly improved survival from 12 to 15 months in a retrospective analysis of data from phase II-III trials on stage III disease in the Groupe d'Etude et de Recherche en Cancreologie Onco-Radiotherapic (GERCOR) experience [7].

## Metastatic Disease

Until a decade ago, a nihilistic attitude prevailed, raising the dilemma as to whether patients with advanced pancreatic cancer should receive any systemic treatment at all [8, 9]. Afterwards, two trials comparing chemotherapy with best supportive care suggested that chemotherapy may improve survival time and the quality of life [10, 11]. Further progress was obtained by using of gemcitabine [12]. Despite the lack of confirmatory trials and the numerous methodological pitfalls of the pivot trial such as the absence of a prospective statistical design, the use of a not validated primary endpoint (clinical benefit), limited statistical power for the secondary endpoint survival due to the small number of patients (n=126), the use of a debatable comparative arm and of a subjective definition of progressive disease (clinical status which was consistent with disease progression) which may constitute a bias in a single blind study, gemcitabine became a standard of care in advanced pancreatic cancer. Gemcitabine achieves an objective response rate of 4-26%, a median progression-free survival of 2.0-3.8 months and a 1-year overall survival of 17-28% [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. The therapeutic activity of gemcitabine administered as a fixed-dose rate infusion instead of the standard 30-minute infusion did not significantly improved the outcome of patients with advanced pancreatic cancer [13].

The addition of a second cytotoxic agent or other drugs to gemcitabine did not improve treatment efficacy over single agent gemcitabine [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. A meta-analysis revealed that the addition of 5-fluorouracil, cisplatin or a platinum compound to gemcitabine may improve 1-year overall survival by 4% [22]. After a decade of unfruitful attempts, two gemcitabine-based doublets vielded a statistically significant outcome improvement over a single agent in phase III trials [23, 24]. However, the results of the capecitabinegemcitabine combination were not confirmed in another phase III trial [25]. Altogether, the obtained capecitabineadvantage by gemcitabine and by a gemcitabine-erlotinib combination in overall survival was of marginal clinical significance, consisting of an absolute 7% improvement at one year (from 17-19% with gemcitabine alone to 24-26% with combined therapy) [23, 24]. Overall, from a clinical perspective, these trials confirmed the lack of a significant impact of double-agent combination therapy on the clinical course of pancreatic cancer. On the other hand, a cisplatin, epirubicin, 5gemcitabine fluorouracil, and (PEFG) regimen was proved to be both clinically and statistically more effective than single agent gemcitabine as an upfront treatment in advanced pancreatic cancer [26]. The superiority of the four drug regimen over the single agent gemcitabine was shown in terms of response rate (38.5% vs. 8.5%), median progression-free survival (5.4 vs. 3.3 months), clinical benefit (65% vs. 25%) and 1-year (38.5%) 21.3%). overall survival vs. Interestingly, the survival improvement was not achieved at the cost of impaired quality of life [27]. The toxicity of the PEFG regimen mainly consisted of short-term and uncomplicated neutropenia and thrombocytopenia [28]. The findings of the phase III trial confirmed previous phase II results [28] and were reproduced in two subsequent series [29, 30] treated by a simplified schedule which had a better toxicity profile and was more suitable for clinical use. An ongoing trial is evaluating the substitution of

infusional 5-fluorouracil with oral capecitabine in an attempt to further improve the effect of the regimen.

Although by enlarging the sample size, any difference may become statistically significant, the driving force for selecting a standard treatment should remain the clinical relevance of improvement. Accordingly, and despite the scarce appeal of 'old' drugs, a large confirmatory trial exploring the PEFG regimen as the best candidate cornerstone for the addition of targeted therapies appears worthwhile.

**Keywords** capecitabine; Drug Therapy; erlotinib; Fluorouracil; gemcitabine; Pancreatic Neoplasms; Radiotherapy; Review; Therapeutics

Abbreviations EORTC: European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer; ESPAC: European Study Group of Pancreatic GERCOR: Groupe Cancer; d'Etude et de Recherche en Cancreologie Onco-Radiotherapic; PEFG: cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil, gemcitabine; epirubicin, **RTOG: Radiation Therapy Oncology Group** 

**Conflict of interest** The authors have no potential conflicts of interest

#### Correspondence

Michele Reni Department of Oncology San Raffaele Scientific Institute Via Olgettina 60 20132 Milan Italy Phone: +39-02.2643.7644 Fax: +39-02.2643.7625 E-mail: reni.michele@hsr.it

Document URL: http://www.joplink.net/prev/200701/24.html

#### References

1. Neuhaus P, Oettle H, Post S, Gellert K, Schramm H, Zulke C, et al. A randomised, prospective, multicenter, phase III trial of adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine vs. observation in patients with resected pancreatic cancer. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23(16S):4013.

2. Neoptolemos JP, Stocken DD, Friess H, Bassi C, Dunn JA, Hickey H, et al. A randomized trial of

chemoradiotherapy and chemotherapy after resection of pancreatic cancer. N Engl J Med 2004; 350:1200-10. [PMID 15028824]

3. Regine WF, Winter KW, Abrams R, Safran H, Hoffman JP, Konski A, et al. RTOG 9704 a phase III study of adjuvant pre and post chemoradiation (CRT) 5-FU vs. gemcitabine (G) for resected pancreatic adenocarcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24(18S):4007.

4. Maisey N, Chau I, Cunningham D, Norman A, Seymour M, Hickish T, et al. Multicenter randomized phase III trial comparing protracted venous infusion (PVI) fluorouracil (5-FU) with PVI 5-FU plus mitomycin in inoperable pancreatic cancer. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20:3130-6. [PMID 12118027]

5. Kalser MH, Ellenberg SS. Pancreatic cancer. Adjuvant combined radiation and chemotherapy following curative resection. Arch Surg 1985; 120:899-903. [PMID 4015380]

6. Klinkenbijl JH, Jeekel J, Sahmoud T, van Pel R, Couvreur ML, Veenhof CH, et al. Adjuvant radiotherapy and 5-fluorouracil after curative resection of cancer of the pancreas and periampullary region: phase III trial of the EORTC gastrointestinal tract cancer cooperative group. Ann Surg 1999; 230:776-82. [PMID 10615932]

7. Huguet F, André T, Hammel P, Artru P, Balosso J, Ruszniewski P, et al. Chemoradiotherapy (CRT) after chemotherapy (CT) improves survival for locallyadvanced (LA) pancreatic cancer patients: Retrospective analysis of 181 patients enrolled in prospective phases II and III GERCOR studies. J Clin Oncol 2006; 23(16S):4095.

8. Lionetto R, Pugliese V, Bruzzi P, Rosso R. No standard treatment is available for advanced pancreatic cancer. Eur J Cancer 1995; 31:882-7. [PMID 7646915]

9. Taylor I. Should further studies of chemotherapy be carried out in pancreatic cancer? Eur J Cancer 1993; 29:1076-78. [PMID 7686019]

10. Glimelius B, Hoffman K, Sjoden PO, Jacobsson G, Sellstrom H, Enander LK, et al. Chemotherapy improves survival and quality of life in advanced pancreatic and biliary cancer. Ann Oncol 1996; 7:593-600. [PMID 8879373]

11. Palmer K, Kerr M, Knowles G, Cull A, Carter DC, Leonard RC. Chemotherapy prolongs survival in inoperable pancreatic carcinoma. Br J Surg 1994; 81:882-5. [PMID 8044610]

12. Burris HA 3rd, Moore MJ, Andersen J, Green MR, Rothenberg ML, Modiano MR, et al. Improvement in survival and clinical benefit with gemcitabine as firstline therapy for patients with advanced pancreas cancer: a randomized trial. J Clin Oncol 1997; 15:2403-13. [PMID 9196156]

13. Poplin E, Levy DE, Berlin J, Rothenberg ML, O'Dwyer PJ, Cella D, et al. Phase III trial of gemcitabine (30-minute infusion) versus gemcitabine (fixed-dose-rate infusion [FDR]) versus gemcitabine + oxaliplatin (GEMOX) in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer (E6201). J Clin Oncol 2006; 24(18S):4004.

14. Berlin JD, Catalano P, Thomas JP, Kugler JW, Haller DG, Benson AB 3rd. Phase III study of gemcitabine in combination with fluorouracil versus gemcitabine alone in patients with advanced pancreatic carcinoma: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Trial E2297. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20:3270-75. [PMID 12149301]

15. Moore MJ, Hamm J, Dancey J, Eisenberg PD, Dagenais M, Fields A, et al. Comparison of gemcitabine versus the matrix metalloproteinase inhibitor BAY 12-9566 in patients with advanced or metastatic adenocarcinoma of the pancreas: a phase III trial of the National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21:3296-302. [PMID 12947065]

16. Rocha Lima CM, Green MR, Rotche R, Miller WH Jr, Jeffrey GM, Cisar LA, et al. Irinotecan plus gemcitabine results in no survival advantage compared with gemcitabine monotherapy in patients with locally advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer despite increased tumor response rate. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22:3776-83. [PMID 15365074]

17. Bramhall SR, Schulz J, Nemunaitis J, Brown PD, Baillet M, Buckels JA. A double-blind placebocontrolled, randomised study comparing gemcitabine and marimastat with gemcitabine and placebo as first line therapy in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. Br J Cancer 2002; 87:161-7. [PMID 12107836]

18. Bramhall SR, Rosemurgy A, Brown PD, Bowry C, Buckels JA; Marimastat Pancreatic Cancer Study Group. Marimastat as first-line therapy for patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer: a randomized trial. J Clin Oncol 2001; 19:3477-55. [PMID 11481349]

19. Oettle H, Richards D, Ramanathan RK, van Laethem JL, Peeters M, Fuchs M, et al. A phase III trial of pemetrexed plus gemcitabine versus gemcitabine in patients with unresectable or metastatic pancreatic cancer. Ann Oncol 2005; 16:1639-45. [PMID 16087696]

20. Van Cutsem E, van de Velde H, Karasek P, Oettle H, Vervenne WL, Szawlowski A, et al. Phase III trial of gemcitabine plus tipifarnib compared with gemcitabine plus placebo in advanced pancreatic cancer. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22:1430-38. [PMID 15084616]

21. Louvet C, Labianca R, Hammel P, Lledo G, Zampino MG, Andre T, et al. Gemcitabine in combination with oxaliplatin compared with gemcitabine alone in locally advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer: results of a GERCOR and GISCAD phase III trial. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23:3509-16. [PMID 15908661]

22. Banu E, Oudard S, Banu A, Fodor A, Landi B, Lecomte T, et al. Cumulative meta-analysis of randomized trials comparing gemcitabine-based chemotherapy versus gemcitabine alone in patients with advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer (PC) . J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts) 2005 23: 4101.

23. Moore MJ, Goldstein D, Hamm J, Kotecha J, Gallinger S, Au HJ, et al. Erlotinib improves survival when added to gemcitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. A phase III trial of the National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group [NCIC-CTG]. ASCO Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium 2005; Abstract 77.

24. Cunningham D, Chau I, Stocken C, Davies C, Dunn J, Valle J, et al. Phase III randomised comparison of gemcitabine versus gemcitabine plus capecitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. Eur J Cancer 2005; 3(4 Suppl):12. (Abstract PS11)

25. Herrmann R, Bodoky G, Ruhstaller T, Glimelius B, Saletti P, Bajetta E, et al. Gemcitabine (G) plus Capecitabine (C) versus G alone in locally advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer. A randomized phase III study of the Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research (SAKK) and the Central European Cooperative Oncology Group (CECOG). J Clin Oncol 2005; 23(16S): LBA4010.

26. Reni M, Cordio S, Milandri C, Passoni P, Bonetto E, Oliani C, et al. Gemcitabine versus cisplatin, epirubicin, fluorouracil, and gemcitabine in advanced pancreatic cancer: a randomised controlled multicentre phase III trial. Lancet Oncol 2005; 6:369-76. [PMID 15925814]

27. Reni M, Bonetto E, Cordio S, Passoni P, Milandri C, Cereda S, et al. Quality of life assessment in advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma: results from a phase III randomized trial. Pancreatology 2006; 6:454-63. [PMID 16847383]

28. Reni M, Passoni P, Panucci MG, Nicoletti R, Galli L, Balzano G, et al. Definitive results of a phase II trial of cisplatin, epirubicin, continuous-infusion fluorouracil, and gemcitabine in stage IV pancreatic adenocarcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2001; 19:2679-86. [PMID 11352960]

29. Dell'Oro S, Reni M, Bonetto E, Panucci MG, Viganò MG, Zerbi A, et al. Intensified PEFG regimen in stage III-IV pancreatic adenocarcinoma: a phase I trial Ann Oncol 2004; 15(Suppl. 3):iii242. (Abstract 919P).

30. Reni M, Cereda S, Bonetto E, Vigano MG, Passoni P, Zerbi A, et al. Dose-intense PEFG (cisplatin, epirubicin, 5-fluorouracil, gemcitabine) in advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2006 [Epub ahead of print]. [PMID 16807732]