# Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors among Patients with Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasms: Real Association or Just a Coincidence?

# Kanwar Rupinder S Gill<sup>1</sup>, Daniela Scimeca<sup>1</sup>, John Stauffer<sup>2</sup>, Murli Krishna<sup>3</sup>, Timothy A Woodward<sup>1</sup>, Laith H Jamil<sup>1</sup>, Michael B Wallace<sup>1</sup>, Justin H Nguyen<sup>2</sup>, Massimo Raimondo<sup>1</sup>

Divisions of <sup>1</sup>Gastroenterology and Hepatology and <sup>2</sup>Transplant Surgery, <sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology; Mayo Clinic. Jacksonville, FL, USA

#### ABSTRACT

**Context** Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs) are being recognized with increased frequency and are the most common indication of pancreatic surgery at specialized centers. Many IPMN patients are found to have non-IPMN related pancreatic tumors like pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PNTs). **Objective** To study the prevalence of PNTs among patients with IPMN. **Methods** Patients who underwent surgical resection for IPMN were retrospectively reviewed for presence of histologically proven PNTs. The PNTs were evaluated for the patient demographics, imaging characteristics, histology, and surgical staging. **Results** Between January 2002 and October 2007, 104 patients underwent surgery for pancreatic IPMN. Among these, 4 patients (3.8%) were diagnosed with concomitant PNTs (1 male, 3 females; median age 72 years). Three patients had branch duct type-IPMN (cyst size: 19 mm, 15 mm and 27 mm), and one had main duct type-IPMN. Only one branch duct IPMN had adenocarcinoma, other three had low grade/borderline dysplasia. The median size of PNT was 10 mm (range 8-16 mm) and all were missed on the cross sectional imaging. Three patients were recognized by endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) and the fine needle aspiration confirmed the diagnosis in 1/3. Only one patient had metastatic PNT to lymph node, the other three were low grade lesions. **Conclusion** IPMN and PNT can coexist. The prevalence of PNT among IPMN patients is low (3.8%). Our study is limited by small sample size. Large studies with large number of patients are needed to further explore this association.

## INTRODUCTION

In recent years, intraductal papillary mucinous have been increasingly (IPMNs) neoplasms recognized, accounting for 8-20% of all resected pancreatic neoplasms [1, 2]. IPMN patients have been reported to have an increased incidence of other pancreatic and extrapancreatic malignancies [3]. synchronous presence of pancreatic Although neuroendocrine tumors (PNTs) has been described among patients with IPMN [3], it is unclear whether this is a true association or coincidence. The purpose of our study was to study the prevalence of PNT among patients with surgically proven IPMN and to recognize

Received March 20<sup>th</sup>, 2009- Accepted August 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2009 **Key words** Endosonography; Neuroendocrine Tumors; Pancreatic Diseases **Abbreviations** EUS: endoscopic ultrasound; FNA: fine needle aspiration; IPMN: intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm; PNT: pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors **Correspondence** Massimo Raimondo Mayo Clinic, 4500 San Pablo Road, Jacksonville, FL, 32224, USA Phone: +1-904.953.7382; Fax: +1-904.953.7260 E-mail: raimondo.massimo@mayo.edu **Document URL** <u>http://www.joplink.net/prev/200909/23.html</u> their clinical and pathological features. Additionally, we reviewed the existing available literature describing patients with concomitant IPMN and PNT.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

We conducted a retrospective chart review of all the pancreatic surgeries for IPMN patients. Patients with combined IPMN and PNT based on histology were identified. In these patients, we noted clinical presentation, demographics, preoperative imaging, endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) and type of surgery. The pathology evaluation of IPMN and PNT were done according to the WHO criteria.

#### ETHICS

The study was approved by the Mayo Clinic IRB.

#### STATISTICS

Descriptive statistics were computed: frequencies, median, and range.

#### RESULTS

Between January 2002 and October 2007, 104 patients underwent surgery for pancreatic IPMN. Among these, 4 patients (3.8%) were diagnosed with concomitant PNT (1 male, 3 females; median age 72 years). The

Patient#	1	2	3	4
Age - sex	67 - Male	72 - Female	72 - Female	76 - Female
Clinical presentation	Weight loss	Abdominal pain	Asymptomatic	Weight loss
IPMN:				
- Type	Main duct type	Multifocal branch duct type	Branch duct type	Branch duct type
- Size	Duct diameter 20 mm	9 mm	Body	Head
- Location	Diffuse	Body	15 mm	27 mm
- Pathology	Low grade	Low grade	Low grade	Low grade
PNT:				
- Size	8 mm cyst	16 mm solid	9 mm solid	11 mm solid
- Location	Head	Tail	Body	Head
- Pathology	Well differentiated	Malignant with metastasis to lymph nodes	Well differentiated	Well differentiated
CT/MRI: Diagnosis of PNT	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected
EUS/EUS-FNA of PNT	Nondiagnostic: benign tissue	Low grade	Nondiagnostic	Nondiagnostic: benign tissue



Figure 1. MRI showing mixed IPMN with both main and branch duct involvement (Patient #1).

clinical, demographic, and pathologic features of these patients are described in Table 1.

#### **Characteristics of IPMN Lesions**

Three patients had branch duct type-IPMN measuring 20 mm, 22 mm and 27 mm. The fourth patient had predominant main duct type-IPMN (Figure 1). Preoperative diagnosis of IPMN revealed cystic lesions of pancreas in all by CT scan and two patients also had MRI. EUS were done in all patients with two revealing worrisome lesions: main duct involvement (Patient #1)



Figure 2. EUS showing an hypoechoic, homogenous 16 mm size pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor (Patient #2).

and cysts associated with mass (Patient #4). The fine needle aspiration (FNA) confirmed adenocarcinoma in Patient #4. The EUS-FNA was non-diagnostic in Patient #3 with 15 mm simple cyst. No FNA of the pancreatic cystic lesion was done in Patient # 2 as the dominant lesion was the 16 mm PNT and FNA of IPMN was thought not to change the management of this patient (Figure 2). Two patients (Patient #1 and Patient #4) underwent total pancreatectomy and other two had distal pancreatectomy. The patient with positive FNA for adenocarcinoma (Patient #4) had well differentiated adenocarcinoma in the background of IPMN with surgical staging T3N1MX. All other IPMN were low risk on surgical pathology (low grade and borderline dysplasia) (Figure 3).

#### **Characteristics of PNT Lesions**

All the patients had missed PNT lesions on cross sectional imaging and 3/4 were identified incidentally on EUS. All three patient underwent EUS-FNA, and the diagnosis was confirmed in one 1 (Patient #2), other two patients had non-diagnostic specimens (benign pancreatic tissue). On surgical pathology, only one patient (Patient #2; Figure 4) had metastatic PNT to peripancreatic lymph nodes, and other three were well differentiated PNTs. All patients had nonfunctioning PNT.



**Figure 3.** Histology of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm showing low grade dysplasia (H&E stain, magnification x200; Patient #1).



Figure 4. Histology of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor (H&E stain, magnification x400; Patient #2).

# DISCUSSION

Our study shows that PNT can be found concomitantly among patient with IPMN. This is similar to three reports describing this association among 10 patients [4, 5, 6]. Our study found that the prevalence of PNT among IPMN is low (3.8%), similar to previous reports by Goh *et al.* [4] and Zhao *et al.* [5] describing prevalence of 2.8% and 4.6%, respectively.

Whether this association is real or by chance is intriguing. As both IPMN and PNT are rare tumors, their coexistence has been hypothesized more frequently than expected [6]. Marrache et al. [6] hypothesized that coexistence of these tumors from two different cell lineages can be due to multiple possible factors including common neoplastic progenitor, transdifferentiation of one cell type into another and possibly a common precancerous condition. This is supported by the study by Terada et al. [7], who discovered neuroendocrine cells among pancreatic in IPMN ductal cells patients. Similarly, neuroendocrine cells have been found among patients with ductal adenocarcinoma [8, 9].

With regard to the clinical and imaging characteristics, previously reported patients with concomitant IPMN and PNT had mean age of 60 years at diagnosis, had smaller PNT (mean size 15 mm), and the majority (8/10) had diagnosis of IPMN made preoperatively by cross sectional imaging. In our series, patients were slightly older (mean age 72 years), the PNT size matched the previous data, and all IPMN lesions were diagnosed preoperatively by cross sectional imaging. Similar to our series, all of the PNT lesions were nonfunctional and no associated hormone excess syndrome associated with them.

Regarding the pathologic behavior of PNT associated with IPMN, only 1/4 patients were malignant. These results are similar to previously reported cases where 3/10 PNT were malignant. [4, 5, 6]. The majority of previously reported patients (7/10) had diagnosis of only one type of pathology (IPMN or PNT) seen preoperatively, and the second lesion was diagnosed incidentally on surgical specimen. Unlike these previous reports, in our study majority (3/4) of the PNTs were seen preoperatively at EUS; however, the diagnosis was confirmed with FNA only in one case. Only one patient with 11 mm size PNT was missed by EUS and only found incidentally on final pathology. EUS is therefore more sensitive then other cross sectional imaging techniques (CT or MRI) to preoperatively diagnose small PNT. However, it is important to recognize that EUS-FNA could not establish diagnosis in majority, which might be related to very small size of the PNTs in our series. Therefore, surgical pathology is critical to evaluate all the solid pancreatic tumors, even when the EUS-FNA is negative.

In conclusion, pancreatic IPMN and PNT can rarely coexist. The available data is insufficient to conclude that these lesions are interrelated. Future studies with large number of patients will be needed to explore this association. We are currently working on a multicenter IPMN registry study where we will further examine this association in a large cohort of IPMN patients.

#### **Disclaimers** None

#### Sources of support None

#### References

1. Farrell JJ, Brugge WR. Intraductal papillary mucinous tumor of the pancreas. Gastrointest Endosc 2002; 55:701-14. [PMID 11979253]

2. Tanaka M. Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas: diagnosis and treatment. Pancreas 2004; 28:282-8. [PMID 15084972]

3. Ishida M, Egawa S, Kawaguchi K, Aoki T, Sakata N, Mikami Y, et al. Synchronous and metachronous extrapancreatic malignant neoplasms in patients with intraductal papillary-mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas. Pancreatology 2008; 8:577-82. [PMID 18824881]

4. Goh BK, Ooi LL, Kumarasinghe MP, Tan YM, Cheow PC, Chow PK, et al. Clinicopathological features of patients with concomitant intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas and pancreatic endocrine neoplasm. Pancreatology 2006; 6:520-6. [PMID 17124434]

5. Zhao X, Stabile BE, Mo J, Wang J, French SW. Nesidioblastosis coexisting with islet cell tumor and intraductal papillary mucinous hyperplasia. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2001; 125:1344-7. [PMID 11570912]

6. Marrache F, Cazals-Hatem D, Kianmanesh R, Palazzo L, Couvelard A, O'Toole D, et al. Endocrine tumor and intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas: a fortuitous association? Pancreas 2005; 31:79-83. [PMID 15968252]

7. Terada T, Ohta T, Kitamura Y, Ashida K, Matsunaga Y, Kato M. Endocrine cells in intraductal papillary-mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. A histochemical and immunohistochemical study. Virchows Arch 1997; 431:31-6. [PMID 9247630]

8. Sakaki M, Sano T, Hirokawa M, Takahashi M, Kiyoku H. Immunohistochemical study of endocrine cells in ductal adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. Virchows Arch 2002; 441:249-55. [PMID 12242521]

9. Ohike N, Jürgensen A, Pipeleers-Marichal M, Klöppel G. Mixed ductal-endocrine carcinomas of the pancreas and ductal adenocarcinomas with scattered endocrine cells: characterization of the endocrine cells. Virchows Arch 2003; 442:258-65. [PMID 12647216]