



# Unveiling Enteric Fever: Harnessing Antigens for Population based Serosurveillance

Kael Darkwater\*

Department of Pathology, Sam Houston State University, USA

## INTRODUCTION

Enteric fever, caused primarily by *Salmonella enterica*, *Serovars typhi* (*S. Typhi*), remains a significant global health concern, particularly in regions with inadequate sanitation and limited access to clean water. The traditional methods of diagnosing enteric fever, such as blood culture or stool culture, are labor-intensive, time-consuming, and often inaccessible in resource-limited settings. As a result, there is a need for alternative diagnostic approaches, particularly for population-based surveillance. The identification of enteric fever-specific antigens has emerged as a promising strategy for population-based serosurveillance, offering potential advantages in terms of sensitivity, specificity, and scalability. Enteric fever-specific antigens are proteins or molecules derived from *S. Typhi* or *S. Paratyphi* that are recognized by the immune system during infection.

## DESCRIPTION

These antigens elicit specific immune responses, including the production of antibodies, which can be detected in blood samples. By identifying and characterizing these antigens, researchers can develop serological tests that provide insights into the prevalence of enteric fever within populations. One approach to identifying enteric fever-specific antigens is through serological screening of patient sera using protein microarrays or other high-throughput platforms. These platforms allow researchers to simultaneously test multiple antigens against a large number of serum samples, providing a comprehensive view of the antibody response to *S. Typhi* and *S. Paratyphi* antigens. By analyzing the patterns of antibody reactivity, researchers can identify antigens that are highly specific to enteric fever and distinguish them from antigens associated with other infections or environmental exposures. Several studies have successfully identified candidate enteric

fever-specific antigens using serological screening approaches. These antigens include proteins involved in bacterial adhesion, invasion, and immune evasion, as well as surface-exposed molecules that are recognized by the host immune system during infection. Examples of such antigens include Vi capsular polysaccharide, OmpC, OmpF, and various flagellar proteins. The development of serological assays based on these antigens offers several advantages for population-based serosurveillance of enteric fever. First, serological assays are relatively simple, rapid, and cost-effective compared to traditional culture-based methods. They require minimal infrastructure and technical expertise, making them suitable for use in resource-limited settings where enteric fever is endemic. Second, serological assays can provide insights into the burden of enteric fever within populations, including the prevalence of recent and past infections. By measuring antibody titers against specific antigens, researchers can estimate the incidence of enteric fever, track changes in disease burden over time, and assess the impact of interventions, such as vaccination or sanitation improvements. Third, serological assays can be used to identify individuals with asymptomatic or subclinical infections, who may contribute to the transmission of enteric fever within communities. This information is valuable for targeted public health interventions, such as outbreak investigations, contact tracing, and targeted vaccination campaigns. However, there are challenges and limitations associated with the identification and use of enteric fever-specific antigens for serosurveillance. One challenge is the variability of immune responses among individuals, which can affect the sensitivity and specificity of serological assays. Factors such as age, immune status, and previous exposure to related pathogens can influence antibody titers and patterns of reactivity. Another challenge is the potential for cross-reactivity with antigens from other pathogens, particularly those with structural or functional similarities to *S. Typhi* or *S. Paratyphi*. Cross-reactivity can lead

<b>Received:</b>	01-May-2024	<b>Manuscript No:</b>	IPJIDT-24-20440
<b>Editor assigned:</b>	03-May-2024	<b>PreQC No:</b>	IPJIDT-24-20440 (PQ)
<b>Reviewed:</b>	17-May-2024	<b>QC No:</b>	IPJIDT-24-20440
<b>Revised:</b>	22-May-2024	<b>Manuscript No:</b>	IPJIDT-24-20440 (R)
<b>Published:</b>	29-May-2024	<b>DOI:</b>	10.36648/2472-1093-10.5.50

**Corresponding author** Kael Darkwater, Department of Pathology, Sam Houston State University, USA, E-mail: KaelDarkwater3848@yahoo.com

**Citation** Darkwater K (2024) Unveiling Enteric Fever: Harnessing Antigens for Population based Serosurveillance. J Infect Dis Treat. 10:50.

**Copyright** © 2024 Darkwater K. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

to false-positive results and complicate the interpretation of serological data. Therefore, it is essential to validate candidate antigens rigorously and optimize serological assays to minimize cross-reactivity while maximizing sensitivity and specificity [1-4].

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the identification of enteric fever-specific antigens holds promise for population-based serosurveillance of enteric fever, offering advantages in terms of simplicity, scalability, and cost-effectiveness. Serological assays based on these antigens can provide valuable insights into the burden of enteric fever within communities, inform public health interventions, and contribute to efforts to control and prevent this significant infectious disease.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

None.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares there is no conflict of interest in publishing this article.

## REFERENCES

1. Bandura A (2004) Health promotion by social cognitive means. *Health Educ Behav* 31: 143–164.
2. Liu S, Liu J (2021) Public attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccines on english-language twitter: A sentiment analysis. *Vaccine* 39: 5499–5505.
3. Chou WYS, Budenz A (2020) Considering emotion in COVID-19 vaccine communication: Addressing vaccine hesitancy and fostering vaccine confidence. *Health Commun* 35:1718–1722.
4. Chandrasekaran R, Mehta V, Valkunde T, Moustakas E (2020) Topics, trends, and sentiments of tweets about the COVID-19 pandemic: Temporal intelligence study. *J Med Internet Res* 22: e22624.